

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 10/796,345 03/09/2004 END920030147US1 1976 Sridhar Krishnamoorthy (17238)EXAMINER 11/22/2005 23389 SCULLY SCOTT MURPHY & PRESSER, PC BROOKS, MATTHEW L **400 GARDEN CITY PLAZA** ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER SUITE 300 GARDEN CITY, NY 11530

DATE MAILED: 11/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/796,345	KRISHNAMOORTHY, SRIDHAR		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Matthew L. Brooks	3629		

gg	Examiner	Art Unit	l	
	Matthew L. Brooks	3629		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED <u>08 November 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS		•		
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba īdavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)	
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is.checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as	
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complising the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.</li> </ol>	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th		
AMENDMENTS				
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the				
non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of	
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	•			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-19</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a	
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.	
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:	
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s).		
13. 🔟 Oulei		DEANT. NGUYE WARY EXAMI	M u/10/65 En NER	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because the request for reconsideration is not persuasive. Applicant is trying to argue that "Collins" reqires users to place a telephone call in order to check on service data. In contrast Applicant is directed to Page 1, fn 6 of Collins to see that registered users can do many functions on-line and furthermore is directed to the e-mail address provided, meaning a telephone call does not need to be made.

As for the proposed amendment to the application, applicant has amended the claim language to recite the new claim limitation of "...a completed set of after sales service data over the ineternet to the authorized user..." The added claim limitations will require the Examiner to reconsider the prior art with these new limitations in mind and/or perform a new search.